



# *Post Engagement Summit Survey Analysis*

# Summary

- 111 Responses
- Overall, there was a significant amount of positive feedback for the Engagement Summit. 81% of responders indicated high satisfaction (score of 7 to 10) for the overall conference, and 93% of responders indicated that the breakout sessions were applicable and useful to their role on the board. 81% of responders are either likely or very likely to attend the Engagement Summit if it occurs yearly.
- This report focuses on crossmatch analyses across boards to find patterns and pinpoint boards' needs. Additionally, themes for open ended responses are identified, as well as negative/critical responses.



# *Crossmatch Analysis*

- For each crossmatch analysis, the data points were highlighted using color scales to indicate patterns in each matrix.
  - The higher the count is, the darker the cell color.
  - Some matrices were split up using different color scales (i.e. green, orange, red to indicate positive vs. negative responses)

# Time Length on Board per Board

Board	Length on Board						Total
	Less than 2 years	2-5 years	5-10 years	10-15 years	15-20 years	20+ years	
ACIS Advisory Board	1	2	4	1	1	3	12
ACIS Emerging Leaders Board	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
APEX Advisory Board	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
BIT Advisory Board	3	2	0	1	0	0	6
BIT Emerging Leaders Board	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CBIA Advisory Board	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
HTM Advisory Board	1	3	0	0	1	0	5
MBAA Advisory Board	0	3	1	0	0	0	4
MIMB Advisory Board	4	4	2	1	0	0	11
MIT Advisory Board	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Management Advisory Board	3	2	1	1	0	0	7
Management Recent Alumni Board	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Other	12	3	3	5	1	0	24
Pamplin Advisory Council	1	3	9	7	3	1	24
Pamplin Society	1	6	0	0	0	1	8
Program in Real Estate Board	2	5	6	1	0	1	15
Recent Alumni Board	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Sales Advisory Board	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>123</b>

Board members with longest time length:

- ACIS Advisory Board
- Pamplin Advisory Council

# Summit Satisfaction per Board

Board	Satisfaction Score										Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
ACIS Advisory Board	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	5	2	12
ACIS Emerging Leaders Board	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
APEX Advisory Board	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
BIT Advisory Board	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	1	6
BIT Emerging Leaders Board	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CBIA Advisory Board	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
HTM Advisory Board	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	5
MBAA Advisory Board	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	4
MIMB Advisory Board	0	0	1	4	3	2	1	0	0	0	11
MIT Advisory Board	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Management Advisory Board	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	7
Management Recent Alumni Board	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Other	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	7	9	5	25
Pamplin Advisory Council	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	12	5	24
Pamplin Society	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	2	8
Program in Real Estate Board	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	5	2	15
Recent Alumni Board	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Sales Advisory Board	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>124</b>



# Confidence in role after Summit per Board

Board	Confidence Score					Total
	Very confident	Confident	Neutral	Not confident	Not confident at all	
ACIS Advisory Board	3	7	1	1	0	12
ACIS Emerging Leaders Board	1	0	0	0	0	1
APEX Advisory Board	1	0	0	0	0	1
BIT Advisory Board	2	2	2	0	0	6
BIT Emerging Leaders Board	0	0	0	0	0	0
CBIA Advisory Board	0	0	0	1	0	1
HTM Advisory Board	1	1	2	1	0	5
MBAA Advisory Board	0	3	1	0	0	4
MIMB Advisory Board	3	1	4	3	0	11
MIT Advisory Board	0	0	0	0	0	0
Management Advisory Board	3	3	1	0	0	7
Management Recent Alumni Board	1	0	0	0	0	1
Other	7	9	5	1	1	23
Pamplin Advisory Council	12	10	0	0	1	23
Pamplin Society	1	5	1	1	0	8
Program in Real Estate Board	4	7	3	0	0	14
Recent Alumni Board	1	0	0	0	0	1
Sales Advisory Board	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>119</b>

# Summit Objectives per Board

Board	Response			Total
	Yes	Neutral	No	
ACIS Advisory Board	10	2	0	12
ACIS Emerging Leaders Board	1	0	0	1
APEX Advisory Board	1	0	0	1
BIT Advisory Board	5	1	0	6
BIT Emerging Leaders Board	0	0	0	0
CBIA Advisory Board	0	1	0	1
HTM Advisory Board	1	2	2	5
MBAA Advisory Board	2	2	0	4
MIMB Advisory Board	2	6	3	11
MIT Advisory Board	0	0	0	0
Management Advisory Board	6	1	0	7
Management Recent Alumni Board	1	0	0	1
Other	21	1	1	23
Pamplin Advisory Council	22	1	0	23
Pamplin Society	7	0	1	8
Program in Real Estate Board	12	3	0	15
Recent Alumni Board	1	0	0	1
Sales Advisory Board	1	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>120</b>

Individual responses to:

“Do you think the Summit achieved the objectives it set out to accomplish?”

-**ACIS Advisory Board, Program in Real Estate, PAC and Other** boards (alums serving on multiple boards) were very positive regarding the Summit achieving its goals.

- **MIMB Advisory Board and HTM Advisory Board** were mostly neutral or disagreed that the Summit achieved its objectives.

# Usefulness of Plenary Session per Board

Board	Usefulness					Total
	Very useful	Moderately useful	Neutral	Moderately useless	Very useless	
ACIS Advisory Board	9	3	0	0	0	12
ACIS Emerging Leaders Board	1	0	0	0	0	1
APEX Advisory Board	1	0	0	0	0	1
BIT Advisory Board	4	2	0	0	0	6
BIT Emerging Leaders Board	0	0	0	0	0	0
CBIA Advisory Board	0	1	0	0	0	1
HTM Advisory Board	1	2	1	1	0	5
MBAA Advisory Board	2	1	0	0	0	3
MIMB Advisory Board	1	1	2	7	0	11
MIT Advisory Board	0	0	0	0	0	0
Management Advisory Board	2	5	0	0	0	7
Management Recent Alumni Board	1	0	0	0	0	1
Other	16	6	2	0	0	24
Pamplin Advisory Council	22	2	0	0	0	24
Pamplin Society	7	1	0	0	0	8
Program in Real Estate Board	3	8	3	0	0	14
Recent Alumni Board	1	0	0	0	0	1
Sales Advisory Board	0	2	0	0	0	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>121</b>



# Usefulness of Breakout Sessions per Board

Board	Were the breakout sessions useful?		
	Yes	No	Total
ACIS Advisory Board	11	1	12
ACIS Emerging Leaders Board	1	0	1
APEX Advisory Board	1	0	1
BIT Advisory Board	5	0	5
BIT Emerging Leaders Board	0	0	0
CBIA Advisory Board	0	1	1
HTM Advisory Board	3	1	4
MBAA Advisory Board	1	1	2
MIMB Advisory Board	6	0	6
MIT Advisory Board	0	0	0
Management Advisory Board	6	0	6
Management Recent Alumni Board	1	0	1
Other	18	0	18
Pamplin Advisory Council	22	0	22
Pamplin Society	8	0	8
Program in Real Estate Board	6	1	7
Recent Alumni Board	1	0	1
Sales Advisory Board	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>96</b>

# Summit Occurrence per Board

Board	Summit Occurrence			Total
	Yearly	Every 2 years	Every 3+ years	
ACIS Advisory Board	5	6	1	12
ACIS Emerging Leaders Board	1	0	0	1
APEX Advisory Board	0	1	0	1
BIT Advisory Board	2	3	1	6
BIT Emerging Leaders Board	0	0	0	0
CBIA Advisory Board	0	1	0	1
HTM Advisory Board	2	2	1	5
MBAA Advisory Board	3	1	0	4
MIMB Advisory Board	3	5	2	10
MIT Advisory Board	0	0	0	0
Management Advisory Board	4	3	0	7
Management Recent Alumni Board	1	0	0	1
Other	11	11	1	23
Pamplin Advisory Council	7	16	0	23
Pamplin Society	6	2	0	8
Program in Real Estate Board	5	8	2	15
Recent Alumni Board	1	0	0	1
Sales Advisory Board	1	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>119</b>

Generally, most boards indicated that they would like the Summit occurrence to be either yearly or every 2 years.

# Summit Satisfaction vs. Summit Occurrence

Satisfaction Score	Every 3+ years	Every 2 years	Yearly	Total
10	0	8	7	15
9	1	14	10	25
8	2	10	13	25
7	1	5	6	12
6	1	1	3	5
5	1	2	3	6
4	2	2	1	5
3	0	1	0	1
2	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>94</b>

Responders who were highly satisfied with the Summit indicated a more frequent occurrence for the Summit in the future.

# Breakout Sessions

- Most responses gave **positive feedback** on the **breakout sessions** because the topics were good and there was open communication, overall sparking a lot of ideas. Some responses include:
  - “Excellent table discussion”
  - “Great ideas and suggestions across boards”
  - “I loved that the breakout sessions were non board affiliated. They showed me how to get more involved with the university as a whole and how to sign up for mentoring.”
  - “This breakout was excellent!!! I hope some of the ideas are implemented.”

# Other topics individuals would like covered

- Many responses indicated wanting **board transparency and best practices**:
  - “Discussion around what boards are doing, best in class practices so we can learn and evolve”
  - “I was hoping for more discussion on what the various boards do, what works, what’s been tried, etc. I was disappointed that this was more of a discussion on what Pamplin is doing (ok) and how to get more alums involved (eh).”
  - “Would like to hear what other advisory groups are doing to bring value to students and the university”
  - “How Pamplin will further evolve its teaching models and curriculum to prepare students to be successful in dynamic, digital and data-driven business environments. As well, it would be helpful to have heard more about the purpose, accomplishments and near-term objectives of the many advisory boards that support Pamplin.”
  - “This started new dialogue between boards - so the open question is how do we sustain this. I think more transparency about the boards and their goals would be helpful, and this would provide a good foundation for determining how they should interact together. For example, the fact that we're solving for alumni engagement and mentoring many different ways vs. working this as a unified team with participation from the various boards seems suboptimal. Would recommend considering adding cross-cutting shared objectives teams for key alumni engagement priorities”
  - “It is wonderful to interact and share challenges and best practices across the Boards. More of this please. As a collective group we can likely accomplish more than always working in individual silos.”

# *Responses to note*

- “I'd like to see how to get more **diversity of thought** in the room. Looking around, as a female, I was in the minority. Even more in the minority were people of color. The population of our boards should be representative of our student body. There is currently a disconnect.”
- “Have a **student panel** at some point. The quality of our graduates is the quality of our school and we can't help the students become quality graduates with not having them in the conversation.”

# *Additional Conclusions*

- If possible, have Engagement Summit every 1 to 2 years
- Breakout sessions were very successful, and a crowd favorite this year, so keep this up and research topics that the attendees want (pre-Summit survey was beneficial to this)
  - Since breakout sessions are at the same time, have each session leader share a summary with the whole body after
- Multiple responses noted wanting more networking time