

Pamplin Standing Committees Definitions and Procedures

Policy 495

Adopted: July 31, 2014

Last Revised: November 7, 2017

The committees and procedures of this document replace prior practice in Pamplin with respect to committees. This document describes the membership, scope and other aspects of standing Pamplin Committees. If a question arises about which committee should consider an issue, the dean will decide. The dean may appoint or create task forces as needed to consider new or shorter-term issues.

Membership

Normally, each Pamplin standing committee will include one faculty member from each department. The dean's office will request one faculty nomination from each department head for open positions each year by the first week of August. Except where a particular committee restricts membership, any faculty member with the AACSB classification of *participating* may serve. Unless otherwise stated, departments will use their own procedures for nominating these faculty members. The dean will make the final assignments of faculty to committees. Among other considerations, the dean will assure that Pamplin campus locations and major constituency groups are appropriately represented and may add members when necessary.

Each standing committee will include at least one ex-officio member representing the dean's office. Certain committees include additional ex-officio members and student members. When applicable, the committee will nominate students to serve early in each academic year and the dean will make the final assignments of students to committees.

Faculty committee membership is normally for two years beginning in August. ACIS, BIT, and MGT will nominate members for service beginning in the fall of an odd-numbered *calendar* year; FIN, HTM, and MKTG will nominate members for service beginning in the fall of an even-numbered *calendar* year. The dean, in consultation with the department head, may appoint a faculty member to complete a term when necessary. Student committee membership is for one year. Committee members, other than students and ex-officio members, should seldom be asked to serve for more than two consecutive two-year terms. When the Dean adds members to achieve appropriate representation, these members will have one year terms. From time to time, a department's official representative to a committee may be able to attend a meeting. With the approval of the department head and committee chair, voting rights can be transferred to the temporary representative. At no time may a department have two voting members on any committee. Non-committee members must receive prior approval from the committee chair to attend meetings and may be removed from meetings at the discretion of the committee chair.

The dean or committee chair may invite guests to any meeting for the purpose of presenting information or participating in discussion. Guests may not vote.

Committee Chairs

If the committee does not have a chair from the prior year, the dean will appoint an interim chair from among the eligible members. The committee will elect a chair at its first meeting of each academic year.

Meeting Schedule

The chair or interim chair, in collaboration with the dean's office, will schedule the first meeting of the academic year. Normally, the dean or a representative of the dean's office, will attend the first meeting to discuss the committee's charge in the context of current and expected opportunities and challenges.

Voting

Ex-officio committee members may participate in discussions but in most cases, may not vote—exceptions are noted. All other members (including student members) may vote. Committee votes are advisory to the dean who will normally review them after meeting minutes are prepared. In accepting committee minutes, the dean will indicate if there are any recommendations from the committee which he or she does not support.

Any member of the committee who has a conflict of interest with respect to a question before the committee should inform the committee chair and abstain from discussion and voting on that question. Certain committees have additional conflict of interest restrictions.

Minutes

Each committee will use its own process for keeping meeting minutes. After each meeting, the committee chair will submit minutes to the dean for review and approval. The dean will assure minutes are distributed to faculty and staff or posted electronically.

PAMPLIN STANDING COMMITTEES

The Academic Committee

The Academic Committee is comprised of:

- the dean (ex-officio)
- associate deans (voting, ex-officio) and
- department heads (voting, ex-officio)

The dean chairs the Academic Committee. This committee makes recommendations in all areas affecting academic quality of Pamplin including but not limited to:

1. The development and implementation of strategic plans.
2. Policies affecting academic programs including decisions about the development, revision and elimination of Pamplin degree programs and curriculum for Pamplin degree and certificate programs.
3. Policies affecting the evaluation of faculty including promotion and tenure; expectations for faculty; and definitions and policies classifying faculty for the purpose of accreditation.

4. Medium- and long-range plans for hiring faculty and staff.

Issues discussed at the Academic Committee will often overlap with issues at other Pamplin committees. When that occurs, the Academic Committee will provide perspective and guidance but the college will look to the committees specifically charged with an area for a final recommendation to the dean.

Doctoral Program Committee

The Doctoral Committee is comprised of:

- Department's Directors of Graduate (doctoral) Studies (ex-officio, voting)
- Director of the Executive Doctorate in Business Research Program (ex-officio, voting)
- The Associate Dean for Research and Faculty Affairs (ex-officio)

If no member of the committee is also on the University Graduate Curriculum Committee then the Pamplin representative to the university committee will serve as an ex-officio member of the Pamplin Committee

The Doctoral Program Committee makes recommendation regarding the doctoral program in the PCOB. Its roles include:

1. In collaboration with the Associate Dean for Research and Faculty Affairs, monitor progress in research productivity and placement of doctoral students relative to the college's strategic goals.
2. Develop and maintain an annual evaluation program for the doctoral program that:
 - a. Identifies the program outcomes and targets that should be achieved by doctoral students prior to graduation
 - b. The adoption of, elimination of, and changes to goals that should be achieved by all doctoral students prior to graduation.
 - c. The process for testing doctoral students to determine the proportion who achieve the specified learning goals.
 - d. Standards or guidelines for when action should be considered to improve doctoral learning outcomes.
3. In collaboration with the departments, draft assessment of learning reports for the doctoral program for internal and external audiences and make recommendations for changes to the doctoral program based on information from the Assurance of Learning Programs.
 - a. The adoption of, elimination of, and changes to goals that should be achieved by all doctoral students prior to graduation.
 - b. The process for testing doctoral students to determine the proportion who achieve the specified learning goals.
 - c. Standards or guidelines for when action should be considered to improve doctoral learning outcomes.

4. Recommend changes to enhance doctoral program reputation, including the scholarly and professional development of doctoral students, doctoral student research capability and productivity and doctoral student placement.
5. Review course proposals and significant changes to graduate courses primarily taught for doctoral students. Inputs will likely come from the departments or from the dean's office. However, the committee may initiate changes to these programs to enhance their quality and relevance.

Faculty Honorifics and Awards Committee

The Faculty Honorifics and Awards Committee is comprised of:

- a tenured faculty member holding an endowed chair, professorship or senior faculty fellowship from each department
- the head of each department (voting, ex-officio)
- the Associate Dean for Research and Faculty Affairs (ex-officio)
- chair of the Committee on Teaching Awards (ex officio)

The committee makes recommendations to the dean about awarding endowed honorifics to faculty and will make recommendations for faculty awards.

1. The committee will make recommendations about the appropriateness of awarding fellowships, professorships and chairs to faculty members that are forwarded by the dean. The committee will also review and make recommendations about the renewal of certain honorifics when requested by the dean. The committee will use the "Appointment Process for Pamplin Chairs, Professorships and Fellowships in making these recommendations.
2. The committee will review candidates for each college-level teaching and outreach award and nominations for University teaching and outreach awards.
3. The committee will occasionally review the set of college-level faculty awards and the recognition awarded to recipients and recommend changes during periodic review.

To promote the recognition of Pamplin faculty at the University level, a Committee on Teaching Awards will be constituted including past winners of University teaching awards, appointed annually by the Dean. The committee will act as a sub-committee of the Faculty Honorifics and Awards Committee and the committee chair will serve as an ex officio member of the Faculty Honorifics and Awards Committee. Each year, the Committee on Teaching Awards will invite and seek out faculty nominees for University teaching awards including the Wine Award and the Alumni Award for Teaching Excellence. Nominations to the University require approval of the Faculty Honorifics and Awards Committee. The Committee on Teaching Awards will assist the approved nominees to develop the required dossier. The Dean may appoint similar committees for other University awards, which will follow analogous procedures in nominating and promoting the cases of Pamplin faculty.

Graduate Studies and Policies Committee

The Graduate Studies and Policies Committee is comprised of:

- faculty representatives
- the Associate Dean for the Extended Campus (ex-officio)

If no member of the committee is also on the University Graduate Studies and Policies Commission then the Pamplin representative of that commission will serve as an ex-officio member of the Pamplin Committee. If no member of the committee is also on the University Graduate Curriculum Committee then the Pamplin representative to the university committee will serve as an ex-officio member of the Pamplin Committee.

Graduate Studies and Policies must solicit input from graduate students as part of its work. The committee may wish to invite students to be guests at certain meetings since it has no student members.

This Graduate Studies and Policies Committee makes recommendations to the dean concerning graduate programs that are not primarily research-based including all formats of the MBA, MSBA and the MACIS. The committee is not involved in doctoral programs or the Virginia Tech Masters of Information Technology (MIT) programs. Recommendations may be in the following areas:

1. Review course proposals and significant changes to graduate courses primarily taught for graduate students. Inputs will typically come from the departments or from the dean's office.
2. Review proposals to create, eliminate or change graduate programs. Such proposals are likely to be infrequent and could originate from the departments or the dean's office.
3. Review candidates for each college-level award designed to recognize academic performance, teaching or service performed by a graduate student in a program for which the committee has oversight. Recommend one student to receive each award.
4. Occasionally review the set of college-level faculty designed to recognize teaching or service performed by graduate students in programs for which the committee has oversight and recommend changes when appropriate.
5. Make recommendations for learning goals and other aspects of assurance of learning for graduate programs within its charge. Review and analyze the results of assurance of learning data and make recommendations for improvement.
6. Review indications of the quality of teaching and courses and make recommendations when appropriate.

Internal Events Committee

The internal events Committee is comprised of

- Faculty or staff representatives from each department

- One student representative
- Event Coordinator
- Representative selected by Pamplin Advancement
- Representative selected by Undergraduate Programs
- Representative selected by the Dean's Office

The Internal Events Committee makes recommendations relating to:

1. College events and the promotion of the Combined Virginia Campaign.
2. Events focused on increased Pamplin community primarily among faculty and staff.

Students and alumni may be included in these events but are not the focus of the events.

In addition to advising the dean on Pamplin events, the committee will help organize and promote the events.

International Programs Committee

The International Programs Committee is comprised of:

- faculty representatives
- one Pamplin undergraduate student
- one Pamplin graduate student
- Pamplin Director of International Programs (ex-officio)
- University Director of Global Education (ex-officio) and
- the Chair of the Department of Foreign Languages and Literatures (ex-officio)

If no member of the committee is also on the University Commission on Outreach and International Affairs then the Pamplin representative to the university commission will serve as an ex-officio member of the Pamplin Committee. The committee makes recommendations in the following areas:

1. Study abroad programs offered by Pamplin faculty.
2. Exchange programs developed for Pamplin students.
3. Extracurricular activities sponsored by Pamplin focused on learning about culture and business outside of the US.
4. Relationships which Pamplin maintains with universities and other institutions outside the US.
5. Policies and procedures for interacting with universities and other institutions outside the US.
6. Strategic initiatives and directions for Pamplin to consider to advance the

internationalization of the college and its faculty and students.

In addition, the committee will assist the college in expanding programs available as well as faculty and student participation.

Multicultural Diversity Committee

The Multicultural Diversity Committee consists of:

- faculty representatives
- The Associate Dean for Undergraduate Programs (ex-officio)
- The Director of Recruitment (ex-officio)
- five Pamplin students
- Representative from the Dean's office (ex-officio)

The committee will make recommendations for policies that Pamplin can adopt or actions it can take that will:

- lead to a more diverse student population
- improve graduation and career success for all students, including those from under-represented backgrounds
- lead to a more inclusive environment, especially for students
- recommend a faculty or staff member for the Diversity Excellence Award

The committee will also take actions to assist Pamplin in achieving the goals listed above. Members will actively participate in multicultural/diversity events sponsored by Pamplin. The committee will make recommendations of college-level awards for faculty and staff related to diversity.

One member of the committee will be selected as the faculty liaison to the Multicultural Council. This member will be asked to represent Pamplin on university committees and task forces related to Diversity and Inclusion, including Advanced VT Inclusive VT.

Promotion and Tenure Committee

The Promotion and Tenure Committee consists of:

- Department heads (voting, ex-officio)
- Faculty representatives who are qualified for the AACSB status "Scholarly Academic"
- ~~additional faculty representative (normally nominated by the department of the chair of the committee)~~
- Associate Dean for Research and Faculty Affairs (ex-officio)

Department faculty representatives must be full professors unless there are no full professors available to serve. If there are no tenured full professors available to serve then the department will be represented by a full-professor from another Pamplin department.

The Pamplin representative to the University Promotion and Tenure Committee will be invited as a guest. His or her participation should be limited as required by university

policy.

The committee is concerned with promotions of tenured, tenure-track and non-tenure-track faculty. The operations of the committee are governed by the Virginia Tech Faculty Handbook, the Pamplin Expectations for Promotion and Tenure Policy, and the Pamplin Procedure for Appointment or Promotion of Full-time Non-tenure Track Faculty. According to the Faculty Handbook, this committee, "makes a recommendation on each candidate to the dean and prepares a letter summarizing its evaluation to forward with the dossier. A record of the committee's vote is documented and forwarded to the dean".

The dean's office will provide a timeline for committee actions early in the fall semester which is consistent with the university's timeline.

Research Committee

The Research Committee is comprised of:

- faculty representatives
- the Associate Dean for Research and Faculty Affairs (ex-officio)
- the Chair of the Doctoral Program Committee

If no member of the committee is also a member of the University Commission on Research then Pamplin's representative to that commission will serve as an ex-officio member of the Pamplin Committee.

The Research Committee makes recommendations to the college in the following areas:

1. Criteria for college research awards and competitive research support programs, review nominations for them, and using the established criteria, recommend recipients of the awards and research support.
2. New and existing programs to promote and support faculty and doctoral student scholarly research.
3. In collaboration with the Associate Dean for Research and Faculty Affairs, monitor progress in research productivity relative to the college's strategic goals and recommend changes to enhance the college's research productivity and reputation.
4. Review candidates for each college-level award designed to recognize research, teaching or service performed by a student in a research-based program. Recommend one student to receive each award.
5. Occasionally review the set of college-level faculty designed to recognize research, teaching or service performed by a student in a research-based program and recommend changes when appropriate.

6. Review proposals to create, eliminate or change doctoral programs. Such proposals will likely be infrequent and could originate from the departments or the dean's office.

Undergraduate Assurance of Learning Committee

The Undergraduate Assurance of Learning Committee is comprised of:

- faculty representatives
- Undergraduate advisor responsible for assurance coordination (ex-officio)
- one Pamplin undergraduate student and
- the Associate Dean for Undergraduate Programs (ex-officio)

The UAoL Committee makes recommendations to the college in one area:

1. The process for testing Pamplin undergraduates to determine the proportion who achieve the specified learning goals.

The committee helps assure that Pamplin meets the expectations for AACSB specified in its accreditation standards. The committee interfaces closely with the Pamplin Undergraduate Studies and Policies Committee and may recommend changes to the business core or requirements. The committee also interfaces closely with the academic departments and may recommend that changes be considered in courses that they offer.

Undergraduate Awards Committee

The Undergraduate Awards Committee is comprised of:

- faculty representatives
- The Associate Dean for Undergraduate Programs

The Awards Committee makes recommendations to the college in three areas:

1. The committee will review candidates for each undergraduate college-level award and scholarship and using established criteria, recommend one student for each. In making these recommendations, the committee will ensure compliance with donor agreements and the university policy regarding scholarships.
2. The committee will occasionally review the processes for selecting undergraduates for awards and scholarships and recommend changes when appropriate.
3. The committee will review college-level ceremonies and similar events (other than commencement) where undergraduates are recognized for achievements.

Undergraduate Studies and Policies Committee

The Undergraduate Studies and Policies Committee is comprised of:

- faculty representatives
- one Pamplin undergraduate student and

- the Associate Dean for Undergraduate Programs (ex-officio)

If no member of the committee is also on the University Undergraduate Studies and Policies Commission then the Pamplin representative of that commission will serve as an ex-officio member of the Pamplin committee. If no member of the committee is also on the University Undergraduate Curriculum Committee then the Pamplin representative to the university committee will serve as an ex-officio member of the Pamplin committee.

This Undergraduate Studies and Policies Committee makes recommendations to the dean concerning undergraduate programs including majors, minors and certificates. Note that course and program proposals should be reviewed by the Office of Undergraduate Programs for completeness and accuracy prior to discussion at the USPS. Recommendations may be in the following areas:

1. The adoption of, elimination of, and changes to goals that should be achieved by all undergraduates prior to graduation in any degree program accredited by AACSB.
2. Standards or guidelines for when action should be considered to improve undergraduate learning outcomes.
3. Review course proposals and significant changes to courses primarily taught for undergraduate students. Inputs are likely to come from the departments or from the dean's office.
4. Review proposals to create, eliminate or change undergraduate programs. Such proposals could originate from the departments or the dean's office.
5. Review indications of the quality of teaching and courses and make recommendations when appropriate.

The committee helps assure that Pamplin meets the expectations for AACSB specified in its accreditation standards. The committee interfaces closely with the Pamplin Undergraduate Assurance of Learning Committee. The committee also interfaces closely with the academic departments and may recommend that changes be considered in courses that they offer.

College Center Steering Committees

Center for Business Intelligence and Analytics Steering Committee

The Center for Business Intelligence and Analytics Steering Committee is comprised of:

- faculty representatives
- the CBIA Executive Director (ex-officio voting)
- the CBIA Academic Director (ex-officio voting)
- the Associate Dean for Research and Faculty Affairs (ex-officio)
- the Associate Dean for Administration (ex-officio)
- other members appointed by the Dean

The CBIA Steering Committee makes recommendations to the college in three areas:

1. Ongoing oversight of the organization and activities of CBIA.
2. Monitoring the progress of CBIA with respect to the Center's strategic goals.
3. Review and approval of strategic initiatives proposed by the Center Executive and Academic Directors.

The committee helps assure that CBIA pursues activities that are consistent with its charter and advances the interests of the College. The faculty members on the committee play an important role in insuring that the departments are aware of and committed to supporting CBIA programs.

Apex Systems Center for Innovation and Entrepreneurship

The Apex Systems Center for Innovation and Entrepreneurship Steering Committee is comprised of:

- faculty representatives
- the Apex Systems Center Executive Director (ex-officio voting)
- the Apex Systems Center Academic Director (ex-officio voting)
- the Associate Dean for Research and Faculty Affairs (ex-officio)
- the Associate Dean for Administration (ex-officio)
- other members appointed by the Dean

The Apex Systems Center Steering Committee makes recommendations to the college in three areas:

1. Ongoing oversight of the organization and activities of the Apex Systems Center.
2. Monitoring the progress of the Apex Center with respect to the Center's strategic goals.
3. Review and approval of strategic initiatives proposed by the Apex Systems Center Executive and Academic Directors.

The committee helps assure that the Apex Systems Center pursues activities that are consistent with its charter and advances the interests of the College. The faculty members on the committee play an important role in insuring that the departments are aware of and committed to supporting Apex Systems Center programs.

Adopted	July 31, 2014
Revised	September 1, 2014
Revised	February 2, 2014
Revised	April 24, 2015
Revised	November 6, 2015
Revised	July 1, 2016
Revised	August 17, 2016
Revised	September 14, 2016
Revised	April 4, 2017
Revised	November 7, 2017